arXiv:1605.01256v1 [math.AP] 4 May 2016

Oscillation and variation for semigroups associated with Bessel operators

Huoxiong Wu, Dongyong Yang* and Jing Zhang

Abstract: Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\triangle_\lambda := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2\lambda}{x}\frac{d}{dx}$ be the Bessel operator on $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0,\infty)$. We show that the oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ of the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ associated with Δ_λ are both bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for $p \in (1,\infty)$, $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$, from $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$, and from $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$, where $\rho \in (2,\infty)$ and $dm_\lambda(x) := x^{2\lambda}\,dx$. As an application, an equivalent characterization of $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ in terms of $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ is also established. All these results hold if $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ is replaced by the heat semigroup $\{W_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$.

Keywords: oscillation; variation; Bessel operator; Poisson semigroup; heat semigroup.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 42B20; 42B35; 42B30

1 Introduction and statement of main results

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ be a measure space and $\mathcal{T}_* := \{T_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ a family of operators bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{X}, \mu)$ for $p \in (1,\infty)$ such that $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} T_\epsilon f$ exists in some sense. A classical way to measure the speed of convergence of $\{T_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ is to study square functions of the type $(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |T_{\epsilon_i} f - T_{\epsilon_{i+1}} f|^2)^{1/2}$, where $\epsilon_i \to 0$. Recently, other expressions have been considered, among which are the ρ-variation and the oscillation operators; see, for instance, [2, 4, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20]. Recall that variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(\mathcal{T}_* f)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho(\mathcal{T}_* f)(x) := \sup_{\epsilon_i \searrow 0} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^\infty |T_{\epsilon_{i+1}} f(x) - T_{\epsilon_i} f(x)|^\rho \Big)^{1/\rho}, \tag{1.1}$$

where the supremum is taken over all sequences $\{\epsilon_i\}$ decreasing to zero. The oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_* f)$ can be introduced as

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{T}_* f)(x) := \Big(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \sup_{\epsilon_{i+1} \le t_{i+1} < t_i \le \epsilon_i} |T_{t_{i+1}} f(x) - T_{t_i} f(x)|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \tag{1.2}$$

with $\{\epsilon_i\}$ being a fixed sequence decreasing to zero.

The L^p-boundedness of these operators were studied by Bourgain [2] for $p = 2$ and by Jones et al. [18] for $p \in [1,\infty)$ in the context of ergodic theory. Since then, in harmonic analysis, the study of boundedness of oscillation and variation operators associated with semigroups

*Corresponding author

of operators and families of truncations of singular integrals have been paid more and more attention. In particular, Campbell et al. [10] first established the strong $(p,\, p)$-boundedness in the range $1 < p < \infty$ and the weak type $(1,\, 1)$-boundedness of the oscillation operator and the ρ-variation operator for the Hilbert transform. Subsequently, in [11], Campbell et al. further extended the results in [10] to the higher dimensional cases including Riesz transforms and general singular integrals with rough homogeneous kernels in $\mathbb{R}^d$. On the other hand, Jones and Reinhold [19] obtained the L^p-boundedness properties for $p \geq 1$ of the oscillation and variation operators associated with the symmetric diffusion semigroup(see Lemma 2.2). Crescimbeni et al. [15] subsequently established weighted variation inequalities of heat semigroup and Poisson semigroup associated to Laplacian and Hermite operator. For more results on oscillation and variation operators, we refer the readers to [4, 9, 14, 20, 22, 27] and the references therein.

Let λ be a positive constant and $\triangle_\lambda$ be the Bessel operator which is defined by setting, for all suitable functions f on $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$,

$$\triangle_\lambda f(x) := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} f(x) - \frac{2\lambda}{x}\frac{d}{dx} f(x).$$

An early work concerning the Bessel operator goes back to Muckenhoupt and Stein [23]. They developed a theory associated to $\triangle_\lambda$ which is parallel to the classical one associated to the Laplace operator $\triangle$. Since then, a lot of work concerning the Bessel operators was carried out; see, for example [1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 16, 21, 25, 26]. In particular, Betancor et al. in [5] established the characterizations of the atomic Hardy space $H^1((0,\infty), dm_\lambda)$ associated to $\triangle_\lambda$ in terms of the Riesz transform and the radial maximal function related to a class of functions including the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ and the heat semigroup $\{W_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ as special cases, where $dm_\lambda(x) := x^{2\lambda}\, dx$ and dx is the Lebesgue measure.

The aim of this paper is to prove the $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$-boundedness and their endpoint estimates of the oscillation and variation operators for $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ and $\{W_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$, respectively. To this end, we recall some necessary notation.

Let $P_*^{[\lambda]} := \{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ be a family of Poisson semigroup operators defined by

$$P_t^{[\lambda]} f(x) := e^{-t\sqrt{\triangle_\lambda}} f(x) = \int_0^\infty P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y) f(y)\, y^{2\lambda} dy\ ,$$

where J_ν is the Bessel function of the first kind of order ν with $\nu \in (-1/2, \infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-tz} (xz)^{-\lambda+1/2} J_{\lambda-1/2}(xz)(yz)^{-\lambda+1/2} J_{\lambda-1/2}(yz)\, dm_\lambda(z) \\ &= \frac{2\lambda t}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{(x^2+y^2+t^2-2xy\cos\theta)^{\lambda+1}}\, d\theta,\ t, x, y \in (0,\, \infty); \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

see [5].

Let $\{t_j\}_{j>0}$ be a fixed decreasing sequence converging to zero and $\rho > 2$. The ρ-variation operator $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and oscillation operator $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ associated with the Poisson semigroup are defined by setting, for all suitable functions f and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{V}_\rho\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right) f(x) := \sup_{t_j \searrow 0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left| P_{t_{j+1}}^{[\lambda]} f(x) - P_{t_j}^{[\lambda]} f(x) \right|^\rho \right)^{1/\rho}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right) f(x) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\epsilon_{j+1}<\epsilon_j\le t_j} \left|P_{\epsilon_{j+1}}^{[\lambda]} f(x) - P_{\epsilon_j}^{[\lambda]} f(x)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

The first main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\rho \in (2,\infty)$. The operators $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ are both bounded*

(i) *from $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ to itself for any $p \in (1,\infty)$.*

(ii) *from $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$.*

For the endpoint $p = 1$, we also consider the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ on Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ in [5]. Throughout this paper, for any $x,\ r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we define $I(x,r) := (x-r, x+r)\cap\mathbb{R}_+$.

Definition 1.2 ([5])**.** A measurable function a is called an $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$-atom if there exist a bounded interval $I \subset [0,\infty)$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} a \subset I,\ \ \|a\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} \le 1/m_\lambda(I) \text{ and } \int_0^\infty a(x) dm_\lambda(x) = 0.$$

A function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ is in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ if and only if $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j a_j(x)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$, where for every j, a_j is an $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$-atom and $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\alpha_j| < \infty$. The norm $\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} := \inf \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\alpha_j|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible decompositions of f as above.

We now state the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ from $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ introduced in [5] to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\rho \in (2,\infty)$. The operators $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ are both bounded from $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$.*

As a consequence of Theorem 1.3, we have the following characterization of $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ via $\mathcal{V}_\rho\left(P_*^{\lambda}\right)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\rho \in (2,\infty)$. A function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ is in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ if and only if $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant $C > 1$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)}/C \le \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} + \left\|\mathcal{V}_\rho\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(f)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} \le C\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)}.$$

For $p = \infty$, we study the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ on $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ in [5].

Definition 1.5 ([26]). A function $f \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ belongs to the space $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\,\mathrm{d}m_\lambda)} := \sup_{x,\,r\in(0,\,\infty)} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x,r))}\int_{I(x,\,r)} |f(y)-f_{I(x,\,r),\,\lambda}|\, y^{2\lambda}dy<\infty,$$

where

$$f_{I(x,\,r),\,\lambda} := \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x,r))}\int_{I(x,\,r)} f(y)\, y^{2\lambda}dy. \tag{1.4}$$

Our result concerning the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ on $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ is stated as below.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\rho\in(2,\infty)$. The operators $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ are both bounded on* $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$.

Remark 1.7. Let $\{W_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ be the heat semigroup associated with Δ_λ defined by setting, for all $f\in\bigcup_{1\le p\le\infty}L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}_+$,

$$W_t^{[\lambda]}f(x) := e^{-t\Delta_\lambda}f(x) = \int_0^\infty W_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)f(y)\,dm_\lambda(y),$$

where

$$W_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y) := \frac{2^{(1-2\lambda)/2}}{\Gamma(\lambda)\sqrt{\pi}}t^{-\lambda-\frac12}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2+y^2-2xy\cos\theta}{2t}\right)(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}\,d\theta;$$

(see [5, pp. 200-201]). We remark all the conclusions of Theorems 1.1, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.6 hold if the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ is replaced by the heat semigroup $\{W_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$.

The organization of this paper is as follows.

Section 2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. For this purpose, we first establish a basic proposition on the upper bounds of the Poisson kernel and its derivatives. Then we prove the $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ boundedness ($p>1$) of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ by using the result in [19] on the oscillation and variation for symmetric diffusion semigroups (see Lemma 2.2). Moreover, using the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, the basic proposition and the $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ boundedness ($p>1$) of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$, we further show that both $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ are of weak type (1,1).

In Section 3, we establish another endpoint estimation for $p=1$ of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$. Precisely, applying the atomic decomposition and the basic proposition in Section 2, we prove $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ are bounded from $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$. As an application, by the characterization of $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ space via the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}$ of the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ in [5], we further establish an equivalent characterization of $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ space in terms of $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$.

Based on the proposition in Section 2 and properties of $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$, we in Section 4 obtain the boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ on $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$. We borrow the ideas in [9]. However, compared with the case in [9], since the Poisson semigroup $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ associated with Δ_λ has the conservation property $P_t^{[\lambda]}(1)=1$, our argument is more straightfoward than that in [9].

Throughout the paper, we denote by C positive constants which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. For every $p\in(1,\infty)$, we denote by p' the

conjugate of p, i.e., $1/p' + 1/p = 1$. If $f \le Cg$, we then write $f \lesssim g$ or $g \gtrsim f$; and if $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$, we write $f \sim g$. For any $k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $I := I(x, r)$ for some x, $r \in (0, \infty)$, $kI := I(x, kr)$. For any x, $r \in (0, \infty)$, if $x < r$, then

$$I(x,\, r) = (0,\, x + r) = I\left(\frac{x+r}{2},\, \frac{x+r}{2}\right).$$

Thus, we may assume that $x \ge r$.

2 $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$-boundedness and weak type (1,1) estimates

In this section, we provide the proof of Theorem 1.1. To begin with, we first establish a basic proposition on the upper bounds of the Poisson kernel and its derivatives, which is a useful tool in this paper.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a positive constant C such that for any x, y, $t \in (0, \infty)$,*

i)
$$\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{t}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\lambda+1}}, \tag{2.1}$$

and
$$\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{t}{(xy)^{\lambda}(|x-y|^2+t^2)}. \tag{2.2}$$

ii)
$$\left|\partial_x P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{t}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\lambda+3/2}},$$

and
$$\left|\partial_x P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{t}{(xy)^{\lambda}(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{3/2}}.$$

iii)
$$\left|\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{1}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\lambda+1}},$$

and
$$\left|\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{1}{(xy)^{\lambda}(|x-y|^2+t^2)}.$$

iv)
$$\left|\partial_y\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| + \left|\partial_x\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{1}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\lambda+3/2}}, \tag{2.3}$$

and
$$\left|\partial_y\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| + \left|\partial_x\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \le C\frac{1}{(xy)^{\lambda}(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We first show i). By (1.3) and the fact

$$\int_0^\pi (\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1/2)}, \tag{2.5}$$

it is easy to see (2.1) holds. On the other hand, by the fact that for $\theta \in [0,\, \pi/2]$, $\sin\theta \sim \theta$ and $1-\cos\theta \geq 2(\theta/\pi)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| &\lesssim \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{t(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{[|x-y|^2+t^2+2xy(1-\cos\theta)]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{t\theta^{2\lambda-1}}{[|x-y|^2+t^2+4xy\theta^2/\pi^2]^{\lambda+1}}d\theta\\ &\lesssim \frac{t}{(xy)^\lambda(|x-y|^2+t^2)}\int_0^\infty \frac{\beta^{2\lambda-1}}{(1+\beta^2)^{\lambda+1}}\,d\beta\\ &\lesssim \frac{t}{(xy)^\lambda(|x-y|^2+t^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies (2.2) and hence i).

Observe that

$$\left|\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \lesssim \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{(x^2+y^2+t^2-2xy\cos\theta)^{\lambda+1}}\,d\theta,$$

$$\left|\partial_x P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \lesssim \int_0^\pi \frac{t(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{(x^2+y^2+t^2-2xy\cos\theta)^{\lambda+3/2}}\,d\theta,$$

and

$$\left|\partial_x\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| + \left|\partial_y\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| \lesssim \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin\theta)^{2\lambda-1}}{(x^2+y^2+t^2-2xy\cos\theta)^{\lambda+3/2}}\,d\theta.$$

Then using a similar argument, we see that ii)-iv) hold. This finishes the proof of Proposition 2.1. □

Before we present the proof of Theorem 1.1, we also need two auxiliary lemmas which were established in [19] and [12].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(\Sigma, d\mu)$ be a positive measure space and $T_* = \{T_t\}_{t>0}$ a symmetric diffusion semigroup satisfying that $T_tT_s = T_sT_t$ for any $t,\, s \in (0,\infty)$, $T_0 = \mathfrak{I}$ the identity operator, $\lim_{t\to 0} T_t f = f$ in $L^2(\Sigma,\, d\mu)$ and*

($\mathrm{T_i}$) $\|T_t f\|_{L^p(\Sigma,\, d\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\Sigma,\, d\mu)}$ *for all $p \in [1,\infty]$ and $t \in (0,\, \infty)$;*

($\mathrm{T_{ii}}$) T_t *is self-adjoint on $L^2(\Sigma,\, d\mu)$ for all $t \in (0,\, \infty)$;*

($\mathrm{T_{iii}}$) $T_t f \geq 0$ *for all $f \geq 0$ and $t \in (0,\infty)$;*

($\mathrm{T_{iv}}$) $T_t(1) = 1$ *for all $t \in (0,\, \infty)$.*

Then the operators $\mathcal{V}_\rho(T_*)$ and $\mathcal{O}(T_*)$ defined in (1.1) *and* (1.2) *are both bounded on $L^p(\Sigma,\, d\mu)$ for any $p \in (1,\infty)$.*

It is straightforward from the definition of m_λ (i.e., $dm_\lambda(x) := x^{2\lambda}dx$) that there exists a finite constant $C > 1$ such that for all $x,\, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$C^{-1}m_\lambda(I(x,r)) \leq x^{2\lambda}r + r^{2\lambda+1} \leq Cm_\lambda(I(x,r)).$$

This means that $(\mathbb{R}_+, |\cdot|, dm_\lambda)$ is a space of homogeneous type in the sense of [12, 13].

The following Calderón-Zygmund decomposition was established in [12, pp. 73-74] in the setting of spaces of homogeneous type.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $\eta > 0$, there exist a family of intervals $\{I_j\}_j$, and constants $C > 0$ and $M \geq 1$, such that*

(i) $f =: g + b =: g + \sum_j b_j$, *where b_j is supported in I_j,*

(ii) $\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq C\eta$ *and* $\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$,

(iii) $\sum_j \|b_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$ *and* $\int_{I_j} b_j(x)\, dm_\lambda(x) = 0$ *for each j,*

(iv) $\sum_j m_\lambda(I_j) \leq \frac{C}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$,

(v) *for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\sum_j \chi_{I_j}(x) \leq M$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first claim that $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.2. In fact, $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ is a contraction semigroup on $L^r(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for all $r \in [1, \infty]$; see [5, p. 197]. Thus ($\mathrm{T_i}$) holds. Next, Since Δ_λ is a self-adjoint operator, then $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$ satisfies ($\mathrm{T_{ii}}$). Third, recall that for any t, x, $y \in (0, \infty)$, the kernel $P_t^{[\lambda]}(x, y)$ of $P_t^{[\lambda]}$ satisfies (1.3), which implies ($\mathrm{T_{iii}}$). Moreover, by [5, p. 208], the conservation property ($\mathrm{T_{iv}}$) holds for $\{P_t^{[\lambda]}\}_{t>0}$. According to Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for any $p \in (1, \infty)$.

We next establish the weak (1,1) estimation. We only give the proof of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and the proof of $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ is similar. For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ and $\eta \in (0, \infty)$. By Lemma 2.3, we have functions g, b, b_j and intervals $\{I_j\}_j$ as in Lemma 2.3.

Since the operator $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ is subadditive, to show

$$m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right) f(x) > \eta\right\}\right) \leq \frac{C}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)},$$

it suffices to prove

$$m_\lambda\Big(\Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Big|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(g)(x)\Big| > \frac{\eta}{2}\Big\}\Big) \leq \frac{C}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$m_\lambda\Big(\Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Big|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b)(x)\Big| > \frac{\eta}{2}\Big\}\Big) \leq \frac{C}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}. \tag{2.7}$$

For (2.6), by the L^2-boundedness of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ and Lemma 2.3 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_\lambda\Big(\Big\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Big|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(g)(x)\Big| > \frac{\eta}{2}\Big\}\Big) &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |g(x)|^2\, dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta^2}\,\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |g(x)|\, dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(x)|\, dm_\lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (2.6).

Now, we prove (2.7). Let $\tilde{I}_j := 3I_j$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}} := \bigcup_j \tilde{I}_j$. Using the doubling property of m_λ and Lemma 2.3 (iv), we write

$$m_\lambda\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Big|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b)(x)\Big| > \frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right)$$

$$\lesssim m_\lambda\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}\right)+m_\lambda\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}:\,\left|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b)(x)\right|>\frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right)$$
$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}+m_\lambda\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}:\left|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b)(x)\right|>\frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right).$$

It remains to estimate the last term. For each j, by the fundamental theorem of calculus, we now analyze the operator

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b_j)(x)&=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\sup_{t_{k+1}\le\varepsilon_{k+1}<\varepsilon_k\le t_k}\left|P_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}^{[\lambda]}b_j(x)-P_{\varepsilon_k}^{[\lambda]}b_j(x)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}\\&\le\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\sup_{t_{k+1}\le\varepsilon_{k+1}<\varepsilon_k\le t_k}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left(P_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}^{[\lambda]}(x,y)-P_{\varepsilon_k}^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right)b_j(y)\,dm_\lambda(y)\right|\right]\\&=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\sup_{t_{k+1}\le\varepsilon_{k+1}<\varepsilon_k\le t_k}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\varepsilon_{k+1}}^{\varepsilon_k}\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)b_j(y)\,dtdm_\lambda(y)\right|\right].\end{aligned}$$

By (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)b_j(y)dm_\lambda(y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left[\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)-\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y_j)\right]b_j(y)\,dm_\lambda(y),$$

where y_j is the center of I_j. This yields that

$$\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b_j)(x)\le\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left|\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)-\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y_j)\right||b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt.$$

Then applying Lemma 2.3 (i) and the mean value theorem, we write

$$\begin{aligned}&m_\lambda\left(\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}:\left|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b)(x)\right|>\frac{\eta}{2}\right\}\right)\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{\eta}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}}\left|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b)(x)\right|\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\tilde{I}_j}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left|\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)-\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y_j)\right||b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\tilde{I}_j}\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left|\partial_y\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right||y-y_j||b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\tilde{I}_j}\int_0^{|I_j|}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left|\partial_y\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right||y-y_j||b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\qquad+\frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\backslash\tilde{I}_j}\int_{|I_j|}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left|\partial_y\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right||y-y_j||b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&=:\mathrm{T}_1+\mathrm{T}_2,\end{aligned}$$

where for $y\in I_j$, $\xi:=sy+(1-s)y_j$ for certain $s\in(0,1)$.

To estimate T_1, we first claim that

$$\left|\partial_y\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right|\lesssim\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(y_j,|x-y_j|))}\frac{1}{(|x-y_j|+t)^2}.\tag{2.8}$$

In fact, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \tilde{I}_j$ and $y, \xi \in I_j$, we have

$$|x-y| \sim |x-y_j| \sim |x-\xi|$$

and

$$m_\lambda(I(y_j, |x-y_j|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(x, |x-y_j|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(x, |x-y|)). \tag{2.9}$$

We further consider the following two cases:

Case 1: $x \le 2|x-y|$. In this case,

$$m_\lambda(I(x, |x-y|)) \sim |x-y|^{2\lambda+1}.$$

By Proposition 2.1 iv) and (2.9), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_y \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(|x-\xi|^2+t^2)^{\lambda+3/2}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x,|x-y|))}\frac{1}{(|x-y|+t)^2} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(y_j,|x-y_j|))}\frac{1}{(|x-y_j|+t)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $x \ge 2|x-y|$. In this case, $m_\lambda(I(x,|x-y|)) \sim x^{2\lambda}|x-y|$. Since $x \notin \tilde{I}_j$ and $y \in I_j$, $x \sim y \sim \xi$, we have that by (2.9),

$$m_\lambda(I(y_j, |x-y_j|)) \sim m_\lambda(I(x, |x-y|)) \sim (x\xi)^\lambda |x-y|.$$

Thus, applying (2.4), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_y \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right| &\lesssim \frac{1}{(x\xi)^\lambda(|x-\xi|+t)^3} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x,|x-y|))}\frac{1}{(|x-y|+t)^2} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(y_j,|x-y_j|))}\frac{1}{(|x-y_j|+t)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two cases above, we conclude that (2.8) holds.

For $x \notin \tilde{I}_j$ and $y \in I_j$, by (2.8) and Lemma 2.3 (iii), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{T}_1 &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{3^{k+1}I_j \setminus 3^k I_j} \int_0^{|I_j|} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |I_j| \left|\partial_y \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right| |b_j(y)|\, dm_\lambda(y)\, dt\, dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{3^{k+1}I_j \setminus 3^k I_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{|I_j|^2}{m_\lambda(I(y_j,|x-y_j|))|x-y_j|^2}|b_j(y)|\, dm_\lambda(y)\, dm_\lambda(x) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)| dm_\lambda(y) \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{|I_j|^2}{(3^k|I_j|)^2}\frac{m_\lambda(3^{k+1}I_j)}{m_\lambda(3^k I_j)} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |b_j(y)|\, dm_\lambda(y)\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}.$$

Now we estimate T_2. By (2.8) and Lemma 2.3 (iii) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_2 &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{3^{k+1}I_j\setminus 3^kI_j}\int_{|I_j|}^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(y_j,|x-y_j|))}\frac{|I_j|}{(|x-y_j|+t)^2}|b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{3^{k+1}I_j\setminus 3^kI_j}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(y_j,|x-y_j|))}\frac{|I_j|}{(|x-y_j|+|I_j|)}|b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dm_\lambda(x)\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\sum_j\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}|b_j(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{|I_j|m_\lambda(3^{k+1}I_j)}{m_\lambda(3^kI_j)3^k|I_j|}\\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain $\mathrm{T}_2\lesssim\frac{1}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}$. Therefore, we have

$$m_\lambda\Big(\Big\{x\in\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}:\Big|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(b)(x)\Big|>\frac{\eta}{2}\Big\}\Big)\lesssim\frac{1}{\eta}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3 $(H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda),L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda))$-boundedness

In this section, we present the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. The proof for $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ is completely analogous to that of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ in Theorem 1.3. Hence, we only provide the version for $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ here.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that $f\in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$. Then we have that $f=\sum\alpha_ka_k$, where a_k is an $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$-atom such that $\sum_k|\alpha_k|<\infty$ and there exists an open bounded interval $I_k=I(x_k,r)\subset\mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mathrm{supp}\,(a_k)\subset I$, $\|a_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}\le[m_\lambda(I_k)]^{-1}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}a_k(x)dm_\lambda(x)=0$. Since $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)\subset L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$ and $P_t^{[\lambda]}$ is bounded on $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$ for each $t\in(0,\infty)$, we have $P_t^{[\lambda]}f=\sum_k\alpha_kP_t^{[\lambda]}(a_k)$. Moreover, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)f(x)&=\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j}\left|\sum_k\alpha_kP_{\varepsilon_j}^{[\lambda]}(a_k)(x)-\sum_k\alpha_kP_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}^{[\lambda]}(a_k)(x)\right|\right)^2\right]^{1/2}\\
&\le\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_k|\alpha_k|\sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j}\left|P_{\varepsilon_j}^{[\lambda]}(a_k)(x)-P_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}^{[\lambda]}(a_k)(x)\right|\right)^2\right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality for series, we have

$$\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)f(x)\le\sum_k|\alpha_k|\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j}\left|P_{\varepsilon_j}^{[\lambda]}a_k(x)-P_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}^{[\lambda]}a_k(x)\right|^2\right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \sum_k |\alpha_k| \mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(a_k)(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right) f(x) dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\quad \le \sum_k |\alpha_k| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(a_k)(x)\, dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\quad \le \sum_k |\alpha_k| \left[\int_{2I_k} \mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(a_k)(x) dm_\lambda(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I_k} \mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(a_k)(x)\, dm_\lambda(x) \right] \\
&\quad =: \sum_k |\alpha_k| (\mathrm{E}_{k,1} + \mathrm{E}_{k,2}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, $\|a_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \le [m_\lambda(I_k)]^{-1}$ and the $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$-boundedness property of $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{k,1} &\le \left\{ \int_{2I_k} \left[\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(a_k)(x) \right]^2 dm_\lambda(x) \right\}^{1/2} [m_\lambda(2I_k)]^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \left[\int_{I_k} |a_k(x)|^2 dm_\lambda(x) \right]^{1/2} [m_\lambda(I_k)]^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim [m_\lambda(I_k)]^{-1/2} [m_\lambda(I_k)]^{1/2} \sim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

For any $x \notin 2I_k$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(a_k)(x) &\le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sup_{t_{j+1} \le \varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_j \le t_j} \left| P_{\varepsilon_j}^{[\lambda]} a_k(x) - P_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}^{[\lambda]} a_k(x) \right| \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sup_{t_{j+1} \le \varepsilon_{j+1} < \varepsilon_j \le t_j} \left| \int_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}^{\varepsilon_j} \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(a_k)(x)\, dt \right| \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{t_{j+1}}^{t_j} \left| \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(a_k)(x) \right| dt \\
&\le \int_0^\infty \left| \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(a_k)(x) \right| dt. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} a_k(x) dm_\lambda(x) = 0$ and the mean value theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]} a_k(x) \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y) a_k(y)\, dm_\lambda(y) \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left[\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y) - \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,x_k) \right] a_k(y)\, dm_\lambda(y) \right| \\
&\le \int_{I_k} \left| \partial_y \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi) \right| |y - x_k| |a_k(y)|\, dm_\lambda(y), \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi := sy + (1-s)x_k$ for $s \in (0,1)$.

For any $y,\,\xi\in I_k$, $x\in\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I_k$, we have $|x-\xi|\sim|x-y|$. Thus, by (2.8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{k,2}&\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I_k}\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(a_k)(x)\,dm_\lambda(x)\\
&\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I_k}\int_0^\infty\int_{I_k}\left|\partial_y\partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,\xi)\right||y-x_k||a_k(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\
&\lesssim\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I_k}\int_0^{2r}\int_{I_k}\frac{|y-x_k|}{m_\lambda(I(x_k,|x-x_k|))}\frac{1}{(|x-x_k|+t)^2}|a_k(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I_k}\int_{2r}^\infty\int_{I_k}\frac{|y-x_k|}{m_\lambda(I(x_k,|x-x_k|))}\frac{1}{(|x-x_k|+t)^2}|a_k(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\
&\lesssim r^2\sum_{j=1}^\infty\int_{I_k}\int_{2^{j+1}I_k\setminus 2^jI_k}\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x_k,|x-x_k|))}\frac{1}{|x-x_k|^2}\,dm_\lambda(x)|a_k(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\\
&\quad+r\sum_{j=1}^\infty\int_{I_k}\int_{2^{j+1}I_k\setminus 2^jI_k}\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x_k,|x-x_k|))}\frac{1}{|x-x_k|}\,dm_\lambda(x)|a_k(y)|\,dm_\lambda(y)\\
&\lesssim r^2\sum_{j=1}^\infty\frac{1}{(2^jr)^2}\frac{m_\lambda(2^{j+1}I_k)}{m_\lambda(2^jI_k)}+r\sum_{j=1}^\infty\frac{1}{2^jr}\frac{m_\lambda(2^{j+1}I_k)}{m_\lambda(2^jI_k)}\\
&\lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)f(x)dm_\lambda(x)\lesssim\sum_k|\alpha_k|.$$

This means

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)f(x)dm_\lambda(x)\lesssim\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

We next present the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First assume that $f\in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$. Then $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$. Moreover, by Theorem 1.3, we see that $V_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})(f)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$ and

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}+\left\|V_\rho\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(f)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}\lesssim\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}.\tag{3.3}$$

Conversely, let $f\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$ such that $V_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})(f)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)$. We first claim that for a. e. $x\in\mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}(f)(x)\le\mathcal{V}_\rho\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(f)(x)+|f(x)|,\tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}(f)(x):=\sup_{t>0}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x)\right|;\tag{3.5}$$

see [5]. In fact, it suffices to verify that for any $t\in(0,\infty)$ and a. e. $x\in\mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x)\right|\le\mathcal{V}_\rho\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(f)(x)+|f(x)|.\tag{3.6}$$

To this end, recall that $P_t^{[\lambda]}f \to f$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ as $t \to 0^+$; see [26, p. 362]. Then for a. e. $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have that $P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x) \to f(x)$ as $t \to 0^+$. Thus for fixed $t \in (0,\infty)$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0,\infty)$, there exists $t_0 \in (0,t)$ such that for any $\tilde{t} \in (0,t_0)$,

$$\left|P_{\tilde{t}}^{[\lambda]}f(x) - f(x)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\tilde{t} \in (0,t_0)$ and $\{t_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \searrow 0$ satisfying that $t_1 := t$ and $t_2 := \tilde{t}$. Then we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x)\right| &\le \left|P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x) - P_{\tilde{t}}^{[\lambda]}f(x)\right| + \left|P_{\tilde{t}}^{[\lambda]}f(x) - f(x)\right| + |f(x)|\\ &< \mathcal{V}_\rho\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(f)(x) + \varepsilon + |f(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we see that (3.6) holds. This shows (3.4).

From (3.4) and the assumption that f, $V_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$. Recall that the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ is characterized in [5, Theorem 1.7] in terms of $\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}$ that a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ is in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ if and only $\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ and

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} \sim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} + \|\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)}.$$

This further implies that $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$ and

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)} + \left\|V_\rho\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(f)\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)},$$

which together with (3.3) completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

4 The $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$-type estimation

In this section, inspired by the methods in [9], we apply Theorem 1.1 and some properties of $BMO(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ space defined in [13] to prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $f \in \mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)$, it suffices to prove that for any interval $I := I(x_0, r)$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $r > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I \left|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)(f)(x) - C_B\right| dm_\lambda(x) \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\, dm_\lambda)},$$

where $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_{j_0-1} \ge 8r > t_{j_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}C_B := \Bigg[\sum_{j=1}^{j_0-2} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} &\left|P_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}^{[\lambda]}f(x_0) - P_{\varepsilon_j}^{[\lambda]}f(x_0)\right|^2\\ &+ \sup_{t_{j_0}\le\varepsilon_{j_0}<\varepsilon_{j_0-1}\le t_{j_0-1}} \left|P_{\varepsilon_{j_0}}^{[\lambda]}(f,I) - P_{\varepsilon_{j_0-1}}^{[\lambda]}(f,I)\right|^2\Bigg]^{1/2},\end{aligned}$$

$f_{I,\lambda}$ is as (1.4) and $P_t^{[\lambda]}(f,I) := P_t^{[\lambda]}(f_{I,\lambda})$ if $t < 8r$ and $P_t^{[\lambda]}(f,I) := P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x_0)$ if $t \ge 8r$. Therefore,

$$\left|\mathcal{O}\left(P_*^{[\lambda]}\right)f(x) - C_B\right|$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Big| \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x) - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j} f(x) \Big|^2 \Big)^{1/2} - C_B \Big| \\
&\le \Bigg| \Bigg[\sum_{j=1}^{j_0-2} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x) - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j} f(x) \Big|^2 \\
&\qquad + \sup_{t_{j_0}\le\varepsilon_{j_0}<\varepsilon_{j_0-1}\le t_{j_0-1}} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j_0}} f(x) - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j_0-1}} f(x) \Big|^2 \\
&\qquad\quad + \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x) - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j} f(x) \Big|^2 \Bigg]^{1/2} \\
&\qquad - \Bigg[\sum_{j=1}^{j_0-2} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x_0) - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j} f(x_0) \Big|^2 \\
&\qquad\quad + \sup_{t_{j_0}\le\varepsilon_{j_0}<\varepsilon_{j_0-1}\le t_{j_0-1}} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j_0}} (f,I) - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j_0-1}} (f,I) \Big|^2 \Bigg]^{1/2} \Bigg| \\
&\le \Bigg[\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} f(x) - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j} f(x) \Big|^2 \Bigg]^{1/2} \\
&\qquad + \Bigg[\sum_{j=1}^{j_0-2} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} \Big| \Big(P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j} \Big) \big(f(x) - f(x_0) \big) \Big|^2 \Bigg]^{1/2} \\
&\qquad\quad + \sup_{0<t\le 8r} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_t (f - f_{I,\lambda})(x) \Big| + \sup_{t>8r} \Big| P^{[\lambda]}_t (f - f(x_0))(x) \Big| \\
&=: \mathrm{F}_1(x) + \mathrm{F}_2(x) + \mathrm{F}_3(x) + \mathrm{F}_4(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \Big| \mathcal{O}\Big(P^{[\lambda]}_* \Big) f(x) - C_B \Big| \, dm_\lambda(x) \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \mathrm{F}_i(x) dm_\lambda(x) =: \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathrm{G}_i.
$$

We write

$$
f = (f - f_{I,\lambda})\chi_{2I} + (f - f_{I,\lambda})\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I} + f_{I,\lambda} =: f_1 + f_2 + f_3.
$$

Then, for G_1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_1 &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \Bigg(\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j} \Big| \Big(P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} - P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j} \Big) f_k(x) \Big|^2 \Bigg)^{1/2} dm_\lambda(x) \\
&=: \mathrm{G}_{11} + \mathrm{G}_{12} + \mathrm{G}_{13}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the conservation property $P^{[\lambda]}_t(1) = 1$ for all $t > 0$, we deduce that $\mathrm{G}_{13} = 0$.

By Hölder's inequality, John-Nirenberg's inequality (see [13]) and the fact $\mathcal{O}(P^{[\lambda]}_*)$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{G}_{11} \le \Bigg(\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \Big| \mathcal{O}\Big(P^{[\lambda]}_* \Big)(f_1)(x) \Big|^2 \, dm_\lambda(x) \Bigg)^{1/2}
$$

$$\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_{2I}|f(x)-f_{I,\lambda}|^2\,dm_\lambda(x)\right)^{1/2}$$
$$\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}.$$

For G_{12}, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}_{12}&\le \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\left(\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty}\sup_{t_{j+1}\le\varepsilon_{j+1}<\varepsilon_j\le t_j}\left|\left(P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_j}-P^{[\lambda]}_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}\right)f_2(x)\right|\right)dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_0^{8r}\int_0^\infty\left|\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right||f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|\,\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I}\,y^{2\lambda}\,dy\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_0^{8r}\int_{2^{k+1}I\setminus 2^kI}\left|\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right||f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|\,y^{2\lambda}\,dy\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x).\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the estimate of (2.8), by Proposition 2.1 iii), we see that for any $y\in\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I$ and $x\in I$,

$$\left|\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right|\lesssim\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x_0,|y-x_0|))}\frac{1}{|y-x_0|+t}.$$

From this and the fact that for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$|f_{I,\lambda}-f_{2^kI,\lambda}|\lesssim k\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)},\tag{4.1}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}_{12}&\lesssim\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{r}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_{2^{k+1}I\setminus 2^kI}\frac{|f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I(x_0,|y-x_0|))}\frac{1}{|y-x_0|}y^{2\lambda}\,dy\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{r}{2^kr}\frac{1}{m_\lambda(2^kI)}\int_{2^{k+1}I}|f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|\,dm_\lambda(y)\\&\lesssim\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k}{2^k}\\&\lesssim\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the arguments of G_{11} and G_{12}, we obtain $\mathrm{G}_1\lesssim\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+,\,dm_\lambda)}$.

For G_2, by the mean value theorem, there exists $\xi:=sx_0+(1-s)x$ for some $s\in(0,\,1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}_2&\lesssim\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_{8r}^\infty\left|\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(f-f_{I,\lambda})(x)-\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(f-f_{I,\lambda})(x_0)\right|\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_{8r}^\infty\int_0^\infty\left|\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)-\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(x_0,y)\right||f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|\,y^{2\lambda}\,dy\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_{8r}^\infty\int_0^\infty\left|\partial_x\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi,y)\right||x-x_0||f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|y^{2\lambda}\,dy\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_{8r}^\infty\int_{2I}\left|\partial_x\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi,y)\right||x-x_0||f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|y^{2\lambda}\,dy\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\\&\quad+\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I\int_{8r}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I}\left|\partial_x\partial_tP_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi,y)\right||x-x_0||f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|y^{2\lambda}\,dy\,dt\,dm_\lambda(x)\end{aligned}$$

$=: \mathrm{G}_{21} + \mathrm{G}_{22}$.

To estimate G_{21}, we claim that for $t > 8r$, x, $\xi \in I$ and $y \in 2I$,

$$\left|\partial_x \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi, y)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \frac{1}{t^2}. \tag{4.2}$$

In fact, since by assumption, $x_0 \geq r$, we then prove (4.2) by considering the following two cases.

Case (i) $x_0 \geq 4r$. In this case, we have $x \sim \xi \sim y \sim x_0$ and $m_\lambda(I) \sim x_0^{2\lambda} r$. By these facts and (2.4), we see that

$$\left|\partial_x \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi, y)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{(y\xi)^\lambda t^3} \lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

Case (ii) $r \leq x_0 < 4r$. In this case, $m_\lambda(I) \sim r^{2\lambda+1}$. From this and (2.3), we deduce that

$$\left|\partial_x \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi, y)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{2\lambda+3}} \lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

This implies the claim (4.2).

By the claim (4.2) together with (4.1), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{21} &\lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \int_{8r}^\infty \int_{2I} \frac{|x - x_0||f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I) t^2}\, y^{2\lambda} dy\, dt\, dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\lesssim \frac{r}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_{2I} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|\, y^{2\lambda}}{8r}\, dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For x, $\xi \in I$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 2I$, we see that

$$|\xi - y| \sim |x - y| \sim |x_0 - y|,$$

and

$$\left|\partial_x \partial_t P_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi, y)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{1}{(|x_0 - y| + t)^2}.$$

Applying (4.1) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{22} &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{r}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \int_{8r}^\infty \int_{2^{k+1}I \setminus 2^k I} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{1}{(|x_0 - y| + t)^2} y^{2\lambda}\, dy\, dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{r}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \int_{2^{k+1}I \setminus 2^k I} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{1}{|x_0 - y| + 8r} y^{2\lambda}\, dy\, dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{r}{2^k r} \frac{1}{m_\lambda(2^k I)} \int_{2^{k+1}I} |f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}| y^{2\lambda}\, dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k}{2^k} \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates for G_{21} and G_{22}, we have $\mathrm{G}_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$.

Now we estimate G_3 by writing

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}_3 &\leq \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I \sup_{0<t\leq 8r}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}((f-f_{I,\lambda})\chi_{2I})(x)\right| dm_\lambda(x)\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I \sup_{0<t\leq 8r}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}((f-f_{I,\lambda})\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I})(x)\right| dm_\lambda(x)\\ &=: \mathrm{G}_{31}+\mathrm{G}_{32}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the Poisson maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}f$ in (3.5) is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$ for any $p\in(1,\infty)$(see [5] or [24, p. 73]). Then by this, Hölder's inequality and John-Nirenberg's inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{G}_{31} &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_I [\mathcal{M}_{P^{[\lambda]}}((f-f_{I,\lambda})\chi_{2I})(x)]^2\, dm_\lambda(x)\right)^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)}\int_{2I} |f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|^2\, dm_\lambda(x)\right)^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate G_{31}, observe that by Proposition 2.1 i), for $0<t\leq 8r$ and $x\in I$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}((f-f_{I,\lambda})\chi_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I})(x)\right| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| |f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|\, y^{2\lambda}dy\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{2^{k+1}I\setminus 2^kI}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)\right| |f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|\, y^{2\lambda}dy\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{2^{k+1}I\setminus 2^kI}\frac{|f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I(x_0,|x_0-y|))}\frac{t}{|x_0-y|+t}\, y^{2\lambda}dy.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from this and (4.1), we deduce that

$$\mathrm{G}_{32}\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{r}{2^k r}\frac{1}{m_\lambda(2^kI)}\int_{2^{k+1}I}|f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|y^{2\lambda}\,dy\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.$$

Therefore $\mathrm{G}_3\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}$.

Since $P_t^{[\lambda]}(1)=1$ for any $t>0$, by the mean value theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t>8r}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x)-P_t^{[\lambda]}f(x_0)\right|\\ &\quad=\sup_{t>8r}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}(f-f_{I,\lambda})(x)-P_t^{[\lambda]}(f-f_{I,\lambda})(x_0)\right|\\ &\quad\lesssim \sup_{t>8r}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left|P_t^{[\lambda]}(x,y)-P_t^{[\lambda]}(x_0,y)\right| |f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|y^{2\lambda}\,dy\\ &\quad\lesssim \sup_{t>8r}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left|\partial_x P_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi,y)\right| |x-x_0||f(y)-f_{I,\lambda}|y^{2\lambda}\,dy,\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi\in I$.

Applying Proposition 2.1 ii) and arguing as (4.2) and (2.8), we see that for $t > 8r$, if x, $\xi \in I$ and $y \in 2I$,

$$\left|\partial_x P_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi, y)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \frac{1}{t};$$

and if x, $\xi \in I$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 2I$,

$$\left|\partial_x P_t^{[\lambda]}(\xi, y)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{t}{(|x_0 - y| + t)^2}.$$

By this fact and (4.1), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_4 &\lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \sup_{t>8r} \int_{2I} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I)} \frac{|x - x_0|}{t} y^{2\lambda}\, dy\, dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_I \sup_{t>8r} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I} \frac{t|x - x_0|}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{(|x_0 - y| + t)^2} y^{2\lambda}\, dy\, dm_\lambda(x) \\
&\lesssim \sup_{t>8r} \left[\int_{2I} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I)} \frac{r}{t} y^{2\lambda}\, dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))} \frac{r}{|x_0 - y| + t} y^{2\lambda}\, dy \right] \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{m_\lambda(I)} \int_{2I} |f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}| y^{2\lambda}\, dy + r \int_{\mathbb{R}_+\setminus 2I} \frac{|f(y) - f_{I,\lambda}|}{m_\lambda(I(x_0, |x_0 - y|))|x_0 - y|} y^{2\lambda}\, dy \\
&\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get $\mathcal{O}(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ is bounded on $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}_+, dm_\lambda)$. The proof of $\mathcal{V}_\rho(P_*^{[\lambda]})$ is similar, we omit the details. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6. □

Acknowledgments

This work is done during Dongyong Yang's visit to Macquarie University. He would like to thank Professors Xuan Thinh Duong and Ji Li for their generous help.

The first author is supported by the NNSF of China (Grant Nos. 11371295, 11471041) and the NSF of Fujian Province of China (No. 2015J01025). The seconde author is supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 11571289) and the State Scholarship Fund of China (No. 201406315078).

References

[1] K. F. Andersen and R. A. Kerman, Weighted norm inequalities for generalized Hankel conjugate transformations, Studia Math. 71 (1981/82), 15-26.

[2] J. Bougain, Pointwise ergodic theorem for arithmatic sets, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 69 (1989), 5-45.

[3] J. J. Betancor, A. Chicco Ruiz, J. C. Fariña and L. Rodríguez-Mesa, Maximal operators, Riesz transforms and Littlewood-Paley functions associated with Bessel operators on BMO, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 363 (2010), 310-326.

[4] J. J. Betancor, R. Crescimbeni, R., J. L. Torrea, Oscillation and variation of the Laguerre heat and Poisson semigroups and Riesz transforms, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 32 (2012), 907-928.

[5] J. J. Betancor, J. Dziubański and J. L. Torrea, On Hardy spaces associated with Bessel operators, J. Anal. Math. 107 (2009), 195-219.

[6] J. J. Betancor, J. C. Fariña, D. Buraczewski, T. Martínez and J. L. Torrea, Riesz transform related to Bessel operators, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 137 (2007), 701-725.

[7] J. J. Betancor, J. C. Fariña and A. Sanabria, On Littlewood-Paley functions associated with Bessel operators, Glasg. Math. J. 51 (2009), 55-70.

[8] J. J. Betancor, E. Harboure, A. Nowak and B. Viviani, Mapping properties of functional operators in harmonic analysis related to Bessel operators, Studia Math. 197 (2010), 101-140.

[9] T. A. Bui, Boundedness of variation operators and oscillation operators for certain semigroups, Nonlinear Anal. 106 (2014), 124-137.

[10] J. T. Campbell, R. L. Jones, K. Reinhold and M. Wierdl, Oscillation and variation for the Hilbert transform, Duke Math. J. 105 (2000), 59-83.

[11] J. T. Campbell, R. L. Jones, K. Reinhold and M. Wierdl, Oscillation and variation for singular integrals in higher dimensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 2115-2137.

[12] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes, Lecture Note in Mathematics, 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1971).

[13] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569-645.

[14] R. Crescimbeni, F. J. Martín-Reyes, A. De La Torre and J. L. Torrea, The ρ-variation of the Hermitian Riesz transform, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 26 (2010), 1827-1838.

[15] R. Crescimbeni, R. A. Macías, T. Menárguez, T and J. L. Torrea and B. Viviani, The -variation as an operator between maximal operators and singular integrals, J. Evol. Equ. 9 (2009), 81-102.

[16] X. T. Duong, Ji Li, B. D. Wick and D. Yang, Hardy space via factorization, and BMO space via commutators in the Bessel setting, Submitted.

[17] T. A. Gillespie and J. L. Torrea, Dimension free estimates for the oscillation of Riesz transforms, Israel J. Math. 141 (2004), 125-144.

[18] R. L. Jones, R. Kaufman, J. M. Rosenblatt and M. Wierdl, Oscillation in ergodic theory, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 18 (1998), 889-935.

[19] R. L. Jones and K. Reinhold, Oscillation and variation inequalities for convolution powers, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 21 (2001), 1809-1829.

[20] R. L. Jones, A. Seeger and J. Wright, Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis, Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), 6711-6742.

[21] R. A. Kerman, Boundedness criteria for generalized Hankel conjugate transformations, Canad. J. Math. 30 (1978), 147-153.

[22] F. Liu and H. Wu, A criterion on oscillation and variation for the commutators of singular integral operators, Forum Math. 27 (2015), 77-97

[23] B. Muckenhoupt and E. M. Stein, Classical expansions and their relation to conjugate harmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), 17-92.

[24] E. M. Stein, Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood-Paley Theory, Ann. of Math. Studies,vol. 63, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1970)

[25] M. Villani, Riesz transforms associated to Bessel operators, Illinois J. Math. 52 (2008), 77-89.

[26] Da. Yang and Do. Yang, Real-variable characterizations of Hardy spaces associated with Bessel operators, Anal. Appl. (Singap.) 9 (2011), 345-368.

[27] J. Zhang and H. Wu, Oscillation and variation inequalities for the commutators of singular integrals with Lipschitz functions, J. Inequal. Appl. 2015:214 (2015), 21 pp.

Huoxiong Wu
School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China
E-mail: huoxwu@xmu.edu.cn

Dongyong Yang
School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China
E-mail: dyyang@xmu.edu.cn

Jing Zhang
School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yili Normal College, Yining Xinjiang 835000, China
E-mail: zjmath66@126.com